

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 BRUSSE 03323 211950Z

64

ACTION DLOS-07

INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 IO-14 ISO-00 CG-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00

PM-07 H-03 INR-10 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-07 PA-04 RSC-01

PRS-01 SP-03 SS-20 USIA-15 CEQ-02 COA-02 COME-00

EB-11 EPA-04 NSF-04 SCI-06 FEA-02 ACDA-19 AEC-11

AGR-20 DOTE-00 FMC-04 INT-08 JUSE-00 OMB-01 TRSE-00

DRC-01 /216 W

----- 110396

R 211726Z MAY 74

FM AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 874

INFO AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN

AMEMBASSY DUBLIN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG

AMEMBASSY PARIS

AMEMBASSY ROME

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

USMISSION USUN NEW YORK

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

USMISSION NATO BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY STOCKHOLM

C O N F I D E N T I A L BRUSSELS 3323

E. O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PBOR

SUBJECT: LOS: EC-9 EXPERTS' DISCUSSIONS

1. THE BELGIAN FOREIGN MINISTRY'S CHIEF ADVISOR ON LAW
OF THE SEA, ALFRED VAN DER ESSEN HAS REVIEWED FOR AN
EMBASSY OFFICER THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE MEETING OF THE
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 BRUSSE 03323 211950Z

EC-NINE LOS EXPERTS AT BONN APRIL 17-18. THE EXPERTS

DISCUSSED SOVIET VIEWS ON LAW OF THE SEA, A BRITISH PROPOSAL ON THE TERRITORIAL SEA, THE GROUP OF 77 MEETING IN NAIROBI, AND PROPOSALS BY FRANCE AND GERMANY ON THE HIGH SEAS AND SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH, RESPECTIVELY. VAN DER ESSEN'S COMMENTS ON THEIR DISCUSSION OF LOS RULES OF PROCEDURE WERE REPORTED IN BRUSSELS A-108.

2. RUSSIAN VIEWS ON LOS. THE DANISH REPRESENTATIVE REPORTED TO THE EC-9 EXPERTS ON THE VISIT OF A SOVIET OFFICIAL NAMED ZORIN (PRESUMABLY VALERIAN ZORIN) TO DENMARK A FEW DAYS EARLIER. ACCORDING TO THE REPORT, RUSSIAN VIEWS ON LAW OF THE SEA SEEM TO BE UNDERGOING SOME CHANGES. ON STRATIS AND THE TERRITORIAL SEA, THE RUSSIANS WERE SAID TO FAVOR A 12-MILE LIMIT, FREE PASSAGE THROUGH INTERNATIONAL STRAITS, INNOCENT PASSAGE THROUGH STRAITS ADJACENT TO ONLY ONE STATE, AND RETENTION OF ANY SPECIAL ARRANGEMENTS ALREADY IN EFFECT FOR SPECIFIC STRAITS. THE FRENCH DELEGATE NOTED THAT THE SOVIET VIEW OF INNOCENT PASSAGE REMAINED UNCLEAR. HE POINTED OUT THAT THE SOVIETS HAD NEVER ACCEPTED THE PROVISION OF THE 1958 CONVENTION GRANTING WARSHIPS INNOCENT PASSAGE THROUGH TERRITORIAL WATERS. VAN DER ESSEN TOLD THE REPORTING OFFICER THAT NEVERTHELESS, HE AND THE FRENCH HAVE THE IMPRESSION THAT THE RUSSIANS MAY BE MODIFYING THEIR VIEW OF INNOCENT PASSAGE.

3. THE DANISH REPRESENTATIVE REPORTED THAT AT CARACAS THE RUSSIANS WOULD SUBMIT A TWO-POINT PROPOSAL ON FISHING: (1) ESTABLISHMENT OF A 50-MILE FISHING ZONE UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE COASTAL STATE, WHICH COULD TOTALLY EXPLOIT THE ZONE OR GRANT FISHING RIGHTS TO OTHER STATES IN RETURN FOR ROYALTIES (2) BEYOND 50 MILES, ESTABLISHMENT OF A 150-MILE ZONE IN WHICH THE COASTAL STATE WOULD HAVE JURISDICTION OVER CONSERVATION MEASURES BUT IN WHICH ALL STATES WOULD HAVE EQUAL FISHING RIGHTS. VAN DER ESSEN NOTED THAT THE RUSSIANS MAINTAIN THEIR TRADITIONAL VIEWS ON THE CONTINENTAL SHELF.

4. UK PROPOSAL ON TERRITORIAL SEA. THE UK REPRESENTATIVE WITHDREW A PROPOSAL HIS GOVERNMENT HAD SUBMITTED EARLIER ON THE TERRITORIAL SEA, SO THAT IT COULD BE REVISED AND RESUBMITTED TO THE EC-NINE AT A LATER DATE. REVISIONS WILL REFLECT NOT ONLY THE

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 BRUSSE 03323 211950Z

COMMENTS OF THE EC-NINE BUT THOSE OF THE US AND SOVIET GOVERNMENTS, TO WHICH THE BRITISH ALSO HAD SUBMITTED THEIR EARLIER DRAFT.

5. GROUP OF 77. THE EXPERTS EXCHANGE INFORMATION ON THE GROUP OF 77 MEETING AT NAIROBI MARCH 25-APRIL 5. ALTHOUGH THEIR INFORMATION WAS INCOMPLETE, THE EXPERTS CONCLUDED THAT THE 77 CLEARLY DO NOT FORM A COHESIVE BLOC ON LOS. THE 77 SEEMED TO HAVE AGREED ON NOTHING AT NAIROBI EXCEPT THE NEED

FOR A STRONG SEA BED AUTHORITY. THE ITALIAN EXPERT REPORTED HOWEVER, THAT A SUBCOMMITTEE REACHED AGREEMENT TO SUPPORT FREE PASSAGE THROUGH INTERNATIONAL STRAITS. OF PARTICULAR NOTE WAS THE FACT THAT THE 77 WERE DIVIDED OVER THE REPORT OF THE GROUP OF LANDLOCKED STATES WHICH HAD MET EARLIER IN KAMPALA.

6. FRENCH AND GERMAN PROPOSALS. THE GROUP RECEIVED TEXTS OF DRAFT ARTICLES ON THE HIGH SEAS PROPOSED BY THE FRENCH, TO "PROVOKE THOUGHT", AND A GERMAN PROPOSAL ON SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH AND TRANSFER OF MARINE TECHNOLOGY. VAN DER ESSEN SAID THAT THE EC-NINE EXPERTS IN GENERAL FAVORED LIBERAL RULES FOR SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH AS PROPOSED IN THE GERMAN DRAFT ARTICLES, BUT THAT HE AND MOST OTHERS AT THE BONN MEETING HAD FELT THAT ANY ARTICLES MUST PROVIDE FOR THE COASTAL STATE AT LEAST TO BE CONSULTED BEFORE SUCH RESEARCH IS UNDERTAKEN. MOST EC-9 EXPERTS ALSO FELT THAT THE GERMAN PROPOSAL'S DEFINITION OF THE SEA AS "THE WATER, THE SEABED, AND THE SUBSOIL THEREOF, AS WELL AS THE AIR ABOVE" WAS FAR TOO BROAD.

7. TEXTS OF THE FRENCH AND GERMAN PROPOSALS ARE BEING POUCHED TO THE DEPARTMENT (D/LOS), PARIS, AND BONN. STRAUSZ-HUPE

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: TERRITORIAL WATERS, BOUNDARIES, WATER BOUNDARIES, FOREIGN POLICY POSITION
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 21 MAY 1974
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974BRUSSE03323
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D740126-1050
From: BRUSSELS
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19740532/aaaabbnc.tel
Line Count: 139
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION DLOS
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: golinofr
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 01 APR 2002
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <01 APR 2002 by cunninfx>; APPROVED <12 JUN 2002 by golinofr>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: LOS: EC-9 EXPERTS' DISCUSSIONS
TAGS: PBOR, UR, EEC, LOS
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005